arXiv:1007.1205v3 [math.DG] 5 May 2011

RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS WITH STRUCTURE GROUP PSU(3)

CHRISTOF PUHLE

ABSTRACT. We study 8-dimensional Riemannian manifolds that admit a PSU(3)-structure. We classify these structures by their intrinsic torsion and characterize the corresponding classes via differential equations. Moreover, we consider a connection defined by a 3- and a 4-form that preserves the underlying structure. Finally, we discuss the geometry of these manifolds relatively to the holonomy algebra of this connection.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. The group PSU(3) 3
3. The sixty-four classes of PSU(3)-structures 6
4. Differential equations characterizing the classes 7
5. The characteristic connection 9
6. Restricting the characteristic holonomy 15
References 23

1. INTRODUCTION

In the first half of the last century several mathematicians investigated the action of the general linear group on the space of alternating trilinear forms (see [11, 17, 18]). Their results can be summerized as follows (cf. [12]): If a 3-form $\rho \in \Lambda^3\mathbb{R}^{n*}$ lies in an open orbit under the natural action of $\mathrm{GL}(n)$, then $n = 6, 7, 8$ and the stabilizer of ρ in $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ is a real form of one of the complex groups

$$\mathrm{SL}(3) \times \mathrm{SL}(3), \quad \mathrm{G}_2, \quad \mathrm{PSL}(3)$$

respectively. Therefore, if an oriented Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) admits a global 3-form ρ that lies in an open orbit, its dimension is $n = 6, 7, 8$ and there exists a G-structure on (M^n, g) with G one of the compact groups

$$\mathrm{SU}(3), \quad \mathrm{G}_2, \quad \mathrm{PSU}(3)$$

respectively. In contrast to the first two cases, the latter is, apart from the study in [12, 15, 20, 21], largely unexplored so far.

One particular 3-form lying in an open $\mathrm{GL}(8)$-orbit is familiar to most theoretical physicists. Starting with Gell-Mann's Eightfold Way [10] – a first classification

---

Date: May 6, 2011.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C25; Secondary 81T30.

Key words and phrases. PSU(3)-structures, connections with torsion, holonomy.

scheme for elementary particles which led to the quark model – an important algebraic role in particle physics is played by $f \in \Lambda^3\mathbb{R}^{8^*}$ whose non-zero components are

$$f_{123} = 1, \quad f_{147} = -f_{156} = f_{246} = f_{257} = f_{345} = -f_{367} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad f_{458} = f_{678} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}.$$

Up to a factor, these components are the structure constants,

$$\left[\lambda_i, \lambda_j\right] = 2\mathrm{i}\sum_k f_{ijk}\lambda_k,$$

of SU(3) with respect to the Gell-Mann matrices λ_i, a generalization of the Pauli matrices which is used to represent the 8 types of gluons that mediate the so-called strong force in quantum chromodynamics. From the above point of view, f lies in an open orbit under the action of GL(8) and its stabilizer is PSU(3) (see [20]).

Firstly, we study general aspects of PSU(3)-structures $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$. To begin with, we follow the method of [6] and classify these structures with respect to the algebraic type of the corresponding intrinsic torsion tensor Γ. There are six irreducible PSU(3)-modules $\mathscr{W}_1, \ldots, \mathscr{W}_6$ in the decomposition of the space of possible intrinsic torsion tensors (see theorem 2.1),

$$\Gamma \in \mathscr{W}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathscr{W}_6.$$

Thus, there exist 64 classes in this scheme. Up to [15], the only PSU(3)-structures studied were those with $\Gamma \in \mathscr{W}_6$. Indeed, in our characterization of the 64 classes by differential equations in terms of ρ (see theorem 4.2) we conclude that the case $\Gamma \in \mathscr{W}_6$ is equivalent to

$$d\rho = 0, \quad \delta\rho = 0,$$

the general assumption in the considerations of [12,20,21]. The so-called restricted nearly integrable SU(3) structures of [15] correspond to the case $\Gamma \in \mathscr{W}_1 \oplus \mathscr{W}_2 \oplus \mathscr{W}_3$. Via PSU(3)-invariant isomorphisms, we identify the projection of Γ onto $\mathscr{W}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathscr{W}_5$ with a pair (T^c, F^c) of differential forms on $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$, a 3-form T^c and a 4-form F^c. Restricting the considerations to PSU(3)-structures whose intrinsic torsion is of type $\mathscr{W}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathscr{W}_5$, there exists a uniquely determined metric connection ∇^c that preserves the underlying structure (cf. theorem 5.1),

$$g\left(\nabla^c_X Y, Z\right) = g\left(\nabla^g_X Y, Z\right) + \frac{1}{2} T^c(X, Y, Z) + \left(\left(X \lrcorner \rho\right) \lrcorner F^c\right)(Y, Z).$$

The torsion tensor $\mathscr{T}^c$ of this so-called characteristic connection realizes different algebraic types (see corollary 5.1). For example, $\mathscr{T}^c$ is totally skew-symmetric if and only if $F^c = 0$, that is if $\Gamma \in \mathscr{W}_1 \oplus \mathscr{W}_2 \oplus \mathscr{W}_3$, $\mathscr{T}^c$ is cyclic if and only if $T^c = 0$, or equivalently if $\Gamma \in \mathscr{W}_4 \oplus \mathscr{W}_5$. The ∇^c-parallelism of $\mathscr{T}^c$ is equivalent (see proposition 5.1) to

$$\nabla^c T^c = 0, \quad \nabla^c F^c = 0.$$

Moreover, we compute necessary conditions for T^c, F^c and $\mathscr{R}^c$, the curvature operator of ∇^c, in the case of $\nabla^c \mathscr{T}^c = 0$ (see corollaries 5.2 and 5.3).

Secondly, our aim is the construction and classification of PSU(3)-manifolds with ∇^c-parallel $\mathscr{T}^c \neq 0$. These are Ambrose-Singer manifolds, i.e.

$$\nabla^c \mathscr{T}^c = 0, \quad \nabla^c \mathscr{R}^c = 0,$$

and the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla^c)$ of the characteristic connection is one of six subalgebrae of $\mathfrak{psu}(3)$ (see lemma 6.1 and propositions 6.1 and 6.2). We then assume

$$\dim\left(\mathfrak{hol}\left(\nabla^c\right)\right) > 1.$$

For each of the remaining four holonomy algebrae we describe the admissible tensors $\mathscr{T}^c$, $\mathscr{R}^c$ and discuss the respective geometry. Summerizing these considerations, the main classification result is that one of the following holds:

i) $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ is of type $\mathscr{W}_1 \oplus \mathscr{W}_2 \oplus \mathscr{W}_3$ (see theorem 6.2) and admits a Spin(7)-structure preserved by ∇^c (see theorem 6.1). Moreover, if $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ is regular, it is a principal S^1-bundle and a Riemannian submersion over a cocalibrated G_2-manifold of certain type (cf. theorems 6.3, 6.4, 6.5 and 6.6).

ii) $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ is either of type $\mathscr{W}_3$ and $\mathrm{Scal}^g > 0$ or of type $\mathscr{W}_5$ and $\mathrm{Scal}^g < 0$ (see theorem 6.7). In each of these two cases, M^8 is locally isomorphic to a unique homogeneous space with isotropy group SO(3) (cf. theorem 6.8).

Conversely, we can reconstruct the PSU(3)-structure in many of these situations (see theorems 6.1, 6.3 and 6.8, together with example 6.1).

The paper is structured as follows: In section 2 we study the algebra related to the action of the group PSU(3). We then classify PSU(3)-structures $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ with respect to their intrinsic torsion in section 3. Section 4 is devoted to the characterization of these classes by differential equations in terms of ρ. We develop the notion of characteristic connection and study its torsion and curvature in section 5. In the last section we discuss the geometry of M^8 relatively to the holonomy algebra of this connection.

2. THE GROUP PSU(3)

We first introduce some notation. $\mathbb{R}^8$ denotes the 8-dimensional Euclidian space. We fix an orientation in $\mathbb{R}^8$ and use its scalar product to identify $\mathbb{R}^8$ with its dual space $\mathbb{R}^{8*}$. Let $(e_1, \ldots, e_8)$ denote an oriented orthonormal basis and Λ^k the space of k-forms of $\mathbb{R}^8$. The family of operators

$$\sigma_j : \Lambda^k \times \Lambda^l \to \Lambda^{k+l-2j},$$

$$\sigma_j\left(\alpha, \beta\right) := \sum_{i_1 < \ldots < i_j} \left(e_{i_1} \lrcorner \ldots \lrcorner e_{i_j} \lrcorner \alpha\right) \wedge \left(e_{i_1} \lrcorner \ldots \lrcorner e_{i_j} \lrcorner \beta\right), \qquad \sigma_0\left(\alpha, \beta\right) := \alpha \wedge \beta$$

allows us to define an inner product and a norm on Λ^k as

$$\left\langle \alpha, \beta \right\rangle := \sigma_k\left(\alpha, \beta\right), \qquad \|\alpha\| := \sqrt{\sigma_k\left(\alpha, \alpha\right)}.$$

The special orthogonal group SO(8) acts on Λ^k via the adjoint representation ϱ. The differential

$$\varrho_* : \mathfrak{so}(8) \to \mathfrak{so}\left(\Lambda^k\right)$$

of this faithful representation can be expressed as

$$\varrho_* (\omega) (\alpha) = \sigma_1 (\omega, \alpha)$$

by identifying the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(8)$ with the space of 2-forms Λ^2.

The 8-dimensional, compact, connected Lie group $\mathrm{PSU}(3) \subset \mathrm{SO}(8)$ can be described as the isotropy group of the 3-form

$$(\star) \qquad \rho = e_{246} - e_{235} - e_{145} - e_{136} + (e_{12} + e_{34} - 2\, e_{56}) \wedge e_7 + \sqrt{3}\, (e_{12} - e_{34}) \wedge e_8 .$$

We use the notation $e_{i_1 \dots i_j}$ for the exterior product

$$e_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{i_j} .$$

There are three $\mathrm{PSU}(3)$-equivariant operators defined on Λ^k, namely the Hodge operator $* : \Lambda^k \to \Lambda^{8-k}$, $\sigma_+ : \Lambda^k \to \Lambda^{k+1}$ and $\sigma_- : \Lambda^k \to \Lambda^{k-1}$, the latter two defined by

$$\sigma_+ (\alpha) := \sigma_1 \left(\rho, \alpha\right), \qquad\qquad \sigma_- (\alpha) := \sigma_2 \left(\rho, \alpha\right).$$

Using these, we decompose Λ^k into irreducible $\mathrm{PSU}(3)$-modules of real type. First we restate the results of [20] regarding Λ^1, Λ^2, Λ^3. The space Λ^1 is an irreducible $\mathrm{PSU}(3)$-module and

$$\Lambda^2 = \Lambda^2_8 \oplus \Lambda^2_{20}$$

splits into the two irreducible $\mathrm{PSU}(3)$-modules

$$\Lambda^2_8 := \left\{\sigma_+ (\xi) \,\middle|\, \xi \in \Lambda^1\right\}, \qquad\qquad \Lambda^2_{20} := \left\{\omega \in \Lambda^2 \,\middle|\, \omega \wedge *\rho = 0\right\}.$$

The space of 3-forms

$$\Lambda^3 = \Lambda^3_1 \oplus \Lambda^3_8 \oplus \Lambda^3_{20} \oplus \Lambda^3_{27}$$

decomposes into four irreducible $\mathrm{PSU}(3)$-modules:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^3_1 &:= \left\{t \cdot \rho \,\middle|\, t \in \mathbb{R}\right\}, \\ \Lambda^3_8 &:= \left\{* \left(\omega \wedge \rho\right) \,\middle|\, \omega \in \Lambda^2_8\right\}, \\ \Lambda^3_{20} &:= \left\{\sigma_+ (\omega) \,\middle|\, \omega \in \Lambda^2_{20}\right\}, \\ \Lambda^3_{27} &:= \left\{T \in \Lambda^3 \,\middle|\, T \wedge \rho = 0 \text{ and } T \wedge *\rho = 0\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The subscript indicates the dimension of a module. We then define

$$\Lambda^4_8 := \left\{\sigma_+ (T) \,\middle|\, T \in \Lambda^3_8\right\}, \qquad\qquad \Lambda^4_{27} := \left\{\sigma_+ (T) \,\middle|\, T \in \Lambda^3_{27}\right\}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *The operators σ_+, σ_- satisfy*

i) $\sigma_- \circ \sigma_+ (\xi) = 6 \xi \quad \forall\, \xi \in \Lambda^1$,
ii) $\sigma_+ (\omega) = 0 \quad \forall\, \omega \in \Lambda^2_8$,
iii) $\sigma_- \circ \sigma_+ (T) = 6\, T \quad \forall\, T \in \Lambda^3_8$,
iv) $\sigma_+ \circ \sigma_- (T) = 12\, T \quad \forall\, T \in \Lambda^3_{20}$,
v) $\sigma_- \circ \sigma_+ (T) = 16\, T \quad \forall\, T \in \Lambda^3_{27}$,
vi) $\sigma_- (F) = 0 \quad \forall\, F \in *\Lambda^4_8 \oplus *\Lambda^4_{27}$.

Therefore, σ_+ is a $\mathrm{PSU}(3)$-equivariant isomorphism between Λ^3_i and Λ^4_i for $i = 8, 27$.

Proposition 2.1. *The decomposition*

$$\Lambda^4 = \Lambda^4_8 \oplus \Lambda^4_{27} \oplus *\Lambda^4_8 \oplus *\Lambda^4_{27}$$

splits the space of 4*-forms of* $\mathbb{R}^8$ *into irreducible* $\mathrm{PSU}(3)$*-modules.*

A direct computation yields

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $T \in \Lambda^3$ *be a non-zero* 3*-form. The equation* $\rho \wedge T = 0$ *holds if and only if* $T \in \Lambda^3_1 \oplus \Lambda^3_{27}$*. Moreover,*

$$\rho \lrcorner \left(\rho \wedge T\right) = 10\, T \quad \forall\, T \in \Lambda^3_8.$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $F \in \Lambda^4$ *be a non-zero* 4*-form. The equation* $\rho \lrcorner F = 0$ *holds if and only if* $F \in \Lambda^4_8 \oplus \Lambda^4_{27} \oplus *\Lambda^4_{27}$*. Moreover,*

$$\rho \wedge \left(\rho \lrcorner F\right) = 10\, F \quad \forall\, F \in *\Lambda^4_8.$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(8)$ splits into $\mathfrak{psu}(3) = \Lambda^2_8$ spanned by the forms $\omega_i := e_i \lrcorner \rho$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_1 &= -e_{36} - e_{45} + e_{27} + \sqrt{3}\, e_{28}, & \omega_2 &= e_{46} - e_{35} - e_{17} - \sqrt{3}\, e_{18},\\
\omega_3 &= e_{16} + e_{25} + e_{47} - \sqrt{3}\, e_{48}, & \omega_4 &= -e_{26} + e_{15} - e_{37} + \sqrt{3}\, e_{38},\\
\omega_5 &= -e_{14} - e_{23} - 2\, e_{67}, & \omega_6 &= -e_{13} + e_{24} + 2\, e_{57},\\
\omega_7 &= e_{12} + e_{34} - 2\, e_{56}, & \omega_8 &= \sqrt{3}\, e_{12} - \sqrt{3}\, e_{34},
\end{aligned}$$

and its orthogonal complement $\mathfrak{m} = \Lambda^2_{20}$. We now decompose $\mathbb{R}^8 \otimes \mathfrak{m}$ into irreducible $\mathrm{PSU}(3)$-modules. Let us define the $\mathrm{PSU}(3)$-equivariant linear maps

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_1 &: \mathbb{R}^8 \otimes \mathfrak{m} \to \Lambda^3, & \Phi_2 &: \mathbb{R}^8 \otimes \mathfrak{m} \to \Lambda^4,\\
\Theta_1 &: \Lambda^3 \to \mathbb{R}^8 \otimes \mathfrak{m}, & \Theta_2 &: \Lambda^4 \to \mathbb{R}^8 \otimes \mathfrak{m}
\end{aligned}$$

by assigning

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_1(X \otimes \omega) &:= X \wedge \omega, & \Phi_2(X \otimes \omega) &:= \left(X \lrcorner \rho\right) \wedge \omega,\\
\Theta_1(T) &:= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_i e_i \otimes \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(e_i \lrcorner T), & \Theta_2(F) &:= -\sum_i e_i \otimes \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{m}}\left(\left(e_i \lrcorner \rho\right) \lrcorner F\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ denotes the projection onto $\mathfrak{m}$.

Lemma 2.4. *If* $X \in \mathbb{R}^8$ *and* $F \in *\Lambda^4_8 \oplus *\Lambda^4_{27}$*, then*

$$\left(X \lrcorner \rho\right) \lrcorner F \in \mathfrak{m}.$$

Lemma 2.5. Λ^3_1 *is not contained in the image of* Φ_1*.*

Proof. Suppose there exist $X \in \mathbb{R}^8$ and $\omega \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $\rho = X \wedge \omega$. It follows that

$$\rho \wedge *\rho = (X \wedge \omega) \wedge *\rho = X \wedge \left(\omega \wedge *\rho\right) = 0,$$

a contradiction. □

Lemma 2.6. *The image of* Φ_1 *is* $\Lambda^3_8 \oplus \Lambda^3_{20} \oplus \Lambda^3_{27}$ *and the maps* $\Phi_1 \circ \Theta_1$, $\Phi_1 \circ \Theta_2$ *satisfy*

i) $\Phi_1 \circ \Theta_1(T) = -\frac{1}{2} T \quad \forall\, T \in \Lambda^3_8$,

ii) $\Phi_1 \circ \Theta_1 (T) = -T \quad \forall\, T \in \Lambda^3_{20}$,
iii) $\Phi_1 \circ \Theta_1 (T) = -\frac{4}{3} T \quad \forall\, T \in \Lambda^3_{27}$,
iv) $\Phi_1 \circ \Theta_2 (F) = 0 \quad \forall\, F \in *\Lambda^4_8 \oplus *\Lambda^4_{27}$.

Lemma 2.7. Φ_2 *is surjective and the maps* $\Phi_2 \circ \Theta_1$, $\Phi_2 \circ \Theta_2$ *satisfy*

i) $\Phi_2 \circ \Theta_1 (T) = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_+ (T) \quad \forall\, T \in \Lambda^3_8$,
ii) $\Phi_2 \circ \Theta_1 (T) = -\frac{1}{3} \sigma_+ (T) \quad \forall\, T \in \Lambda^3_{27}$,
iii) $\Phi_2 \circ \Theta_2 (F) = -18 F \quad \forall\, F \in *\Lambda^4_8$,
iv) $\Phi_2 \circ \Theta_2 (F) = -8 F \quad \forall\, F \in *\Lambda^4_{27}$.

Let us introduce the following subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^8 \otimes \mathfrak{m}$:

$$\mathscr{W}_1 := \Theta_1\left(\Lambda^3_8\right), \qquad \mathscr{W}_2 := \Theta_1\left(\Lambda^3_{20}\right), \qquad \mathscr{W}_3 := \Theta_1\left(\Lambda^3_{27}\right),$$

$$\mathscr{W}_4 := \Theta_2\left(*\Lambda^4_8\right), \qquad \mathscr{W}_5 := \Theta_2\left(*\Lambda^4_{27}\right), \qquad \mathscr{W}_6 := \mathrm{Ker}\left(\Phi_1\right) \cap \mathrm{Ker}\left(\Phi_2\right).$$

Since there exists only one 70-dimensional irreducible PSU(3)-module in the decomposition of $\mathbb{R}^8 \otimes \mathfrak{m}$, we deduce the following using lemmata 2.6 and 2.7:

Theorem 2.1. *The space* $\mathbb{R}^8 \otimes \mathfrak{m}$ *splits into six irreducible* PSU(3)*-modules:*

$$\mathbb{R}^8 \otimes \mathfrak{m} = \mathscr{W}_1 \oplus \mathscr{W}_2 \oplus \mathscr{W}_3 \oplus \mathscr{W}_4 \oplus \mathscr{W}_5 \oplus \mathscr{W}_6.$$

3. THE SIXTY-FOUR CLASSES OF PSU(3)-STRUCTURES

We define a PSU(3)*-structure/manifold* as a triple $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ consisting of a Riemannian 8-manifold $\left(M^8, g\right)$ and a 3-form ρ such that there exists an oriented orthonormal frame $(e_1, \ldots, e_8)$ realizing $(\star)$ at every point of M^8. Here and henceforth we identify TM^8 with its dual space TM^{8*} using g. We will call $(e_1, \ldots, e_8)$ an *adapted frame* and ρ the *fundamental form* of the PSU(3)-structure.

Consider a PSU(3)-structure $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$. We denote by $(e_1, \ldots, e_8)$ a corresponding adapted frame from now on. The connection forms

$$\omega^g_{ij} := g\left(\nabla^g e_i, e_j\right)$$

of the Levi-Civita connection ∇^g define a 1-form

$$\Omega^g := \left(\omega^g_{ij}\right)_{1 \le i, j \le 8}$$

with values in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(8)$. We define the *intrinsic torsion* Γ of $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ as

$$\Gamma := \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{m}}\left(\Omega^g\right).$$

Since the Riemannian covariant derivative of the fundamental form ρ is given by

$$\nabla^g \rho = \varrho_* (\Gamma) (\rho),$$

PSU(3)-structures can be classified by the algebraic type of Γ with respect to the decomposition of $\mathbb{R}^8 \otimes \mathfrak{m}$ into irreducible PSU(3)-modules (cf. [6]). Applying theorem 2.1, we split Γ as

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5 + \Gamma_6,$$

to the effect that 64 classes arise. Via the maps Θ_1 and Θ_2 (see section 2), we identify the component $\Gamma_1+\Gamma_2+\Gamma_3$ with a 3-form

$$T^c = T^c_8 + T^c_{20} + T^c_{27} \in \Lambda^3_8 \oplus \Lambda^3_{20} \oplus \Lambda^3_{27}$$

on $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ and $\Gamma_4+\Gamma_5$ with a 4-form

$$F^c = F^c_8 + F^c_{27} \in *\Lambda^4_8 \oplus *\Lambda^4_{27}.$$

We will call T^c, F^c the *characteristic forms* of $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$. PSU(3)-structures with $\Gamma = 0$ are called *integrable*. We will say that $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ *is of type* $\mathscr{W}_{i_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathscr{W}_{i_j}$ if

$$\Gamma \in \mathscr{W}_{i_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathscr{W}_{i_j}.$$

Moreover, $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ *is of strict type* $\mathscr{W}_{i_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathscr{W}_{i_j}$ if the structure is of type $\mathscr{W}_{i_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathscr{W}_{i_j}$ and $\Gamma_{i_k} \neq 0$ for $k = 1, \ldots, j$.

4. DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS CHARACTERIZING THE CLASSES

To begin with, we compute the differential and the co-differential of the fundamental form ρ. The differential $d\alpha$ of a k-form α on $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ is given by

$$d\alpha = \sum_i e_i \wedge \nabla^g_{e_i} \alpha.$$

Using the formula for the Riemannian covariant derivative of ρ, we obtain

$$d\rho = \sum_i e_i \wedge \varrho_*\left(\Gamma\left(e_i\right)\right)\left(\rho\right) = \sum_i e_i \wedge \sigma_1\left(\Gamma\left(e_i\right), \rho\right) =: \Pi_1\left(\Gamma\right).$$

The map

$$\Pi_1 : \mathbb{R}^8 \otimes \mathfrak{m} \to \Lambda^4$$

is PSU(3)-equivariant. Moreover, we deduce the following:

Lemma 4.1. Π_1 *is surjective and the maps* $\Pi_1 \circ \Theta_1$, $\Pi_1 \circ \Theta_2$ *satisfy*

i) $\Pi_1 \circ \Theta_1\left(T\right) = \sigma_+\left(T\right) \quad \forall\, T \in \Lambda^3_8 \oplus \Lambda^3_{27}$,
ii) $\Pi_1 \circ \Theta_2\left(F\right) = -18F \quad \forall\, F \in *\Lambda^4_8$,
iii) $\Pi_1 \circ \Theta_2\left(F\right) = -8F \quad \forall\, F \in *\Lambda^4_{27}$.

The co-differential $\delta\alpha$ of a k-form α on $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ can be computed via

$$\delta\alpha = -\sum_i e_i \lrcorner \nabla^g_{e_i} \alpha.$$

Again, we use the formula for $\nabla^g \rho$:

$$\delta\rho = -\sum_i e_i \lrcorner \varrho_*\left(\Gamma\left(e_i\right)\right)\left(\rho\right) = -\sum_i e_i \lrcorner \sigma_1\left(\Gamma\left(e_i\right), \rho\right) =: \Pi_2\left(\Gamma\right).$$

The map

$$\Pi_2 : \mathbb{R}^8 \otimes \mathfrak{m} \to \Lambda^2$$

is PSU(3)-equivariant. A direct computation yields here

Lemma 4.2. Π_2 *is surjective and the maps* $\Pi_2 \circ \Theta_1$, $\Pi_2 \circ \Theta_2$ *satisfy*

i) $\Pi_2 \circ \Theta_1\left(T\right) = -\sigma_-\left(T\right) \quad \forall\, T \in \Lambda^3_{20}$,

ii) $\Pi_2 \circ \Theta_2(F) = -3\,\sigma_+\left(\rho \lrcorner F\right) \quad \forall F \in *\Lambda^4_8$.

We now summerize the results obtained so far.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ be a* PSU(3)*-structure with characteristic forms*

$$T^c = T^c_8 + T^c_{20} + T^c_{27}, \qquad F^c = F^c_8 + F^c_{27}.$$

The differential and the co-differential of ρ are completely determined by T^c and F^c:

$$d\rho = \sigma_+\left(T^c_8 + T^c_{27}\right) - 18\,F^c_8 - 8\,F^c_{27}, \qquad \delta\rho = -\sigma_-\left(T^c_{20}\right) - 3\,\sigma_+\left(\rho \lrcorner F^c_8\right).$$

Based on this theorem, we now deduce differential equations characterizing the algebraic type of Γ. With the aid of lemma 2.1, we compute

$$\delta\rho \lrcorner \rho = \sigma_-\left(\delta\rho\right) = -\left(\sigma_- \circ \sigma_-\right)\left(T^c_{20}\right) - 3\left(\sigma_- \circ \sigma_+\right)\left(\rho \lrcorner F^c_8\right) = -18\left(\rho \lrcorner F^c_8\right),$$
$$\sigma_+\left(\delta\rho\right) = -\left(\sigma_+ \circ \sigma_-\right)\left(T^c_{20}\right) - 3\left(\sigma_+ \circ \sigma_+\right)\left(\rho \lrcorner F^c_8\right) = -12\,T^c_{20}$$

for the co-differential of the fundamental form. We then examine the differential of the fundamental form in a similar way:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_-\left(d\rho\right) &= \left(\sigma_- \circ \sigma_+\right)\left(T^c_8 + T^c_{27}\right) - 18\,\sigma_-\left(F^c_8\right) - 8\,\sigma_-\left(F^c_{27}\right)\\ &= 6\,T^c_8 + 16\,T^c_{27},\\ \sigma_-\left(*d\rho\right) &= \sigma_-\left(*\sigma_+\left(T^c_8 + T^c_{27}\right)\right) - 18\,\sigma_-\left(*F^c_8\right) - 8\,\sigma_-\left(*F^c_{27}\right)\\ &= -18\,\sigma_-\left(*F^c_8\right) - 8\,\sigma_-\left(*F^c_{27}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Using lemma 2.3, we additionally obtain

$$\rho \wedge \left(\rho \lrcorner d\rho\right) = \rho \wedge \left(-18\,\rho \lrcorner F^c_8\right) = -180\,F^c_8,$$
$$\rho \wedge \left(\rho \lrcorner * d\rho\right) = \rho \wedge \left(\rho \lrcorner * \sigma_+\left(T^c_8\right)\right) = 10 * \sigma_+\left(T^c_8\right).$$

All these equations together with lemma 2.3 prove

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ be a* PSU(3)*-structure. The following equivalences hold:*

$\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ *is of type*	ρ *satisfies*
$\mathcal{W}_2 \oplus \mathcal{W}_3 \oplus \mathcal{W}_4 \oplus \mathcal{W}_5 \oplus \mathcal{W}_6$	$\rho \lrcorner * d\rho = 0$
$\mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \mathcal{W}_3 \oplus \mathcal{W}_4 \oplus \mathcal{W}_5 \oplus \mathcal{W}_6$	$6\,\delta\rho = \left(\delta\rho \lrcorner \rho\right) \lrcorner \rho$
$\mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \mathcal{W}_2 \oplus \mathcal{W}_4 \oplus \mathcal{W}_5 \oplus \mathcal{W}_6$	$\sigma_-\left(10\,d\rho - *\left(\rho \wedge \left(\rho \lrcorner * d\rho\right)\right)\right) = 0$
$\mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \mathcal{W}_2 \oplus \mathcal{W}_3 \oplus \mathcal{W}_5 \oplus \mathcal{W}_6$	$\delta\rho \lrcorner \rho = 0$ *or* $\rho \lrcorner d\rho = 0$
$\mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \mathcal{W}_2 \oplus \mathcal{W}_3 \oplus \mathcal{W}_4 \oplus \mathcal{W}_6$	$\sigma_-\left(10 * d\rho - *\left(\rho \wedge \left(\rho \lrcorner d\rho\right)\right)\right) = 0$
$\mathcal{W}_2 \oplus \mathcal{W}_4 \oplus \mathcal{W}_5 \oplus \mathcal{W}_6$	$\sigma_-\left(d\rho\right) = 0$
$\mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \mathcal{W}_2 \oplus \mathcal{W}_3 \oplus \mathcal{W}_6$	$\sigma_-\left(*d\rho\right) = 0$
$\mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \mathcal{W}_3 \oplus \mathcal{W}_5 \oplus \mathcal{W}_6$	$\delta\rho = 0$
$\mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \mathcal{W}_2 \oplus \mathcal{W}_6$	$10 * d\rho = \rho \wedge \left(\rho \lrcorner * d\rho\right)$
$\mathcal{W}_2 \oplus \mathcal{W}_4 \oplus \mathcal{W}_6$	$10\,d\rho = \rho \wedge \left(\rho \lrcorner d\rho\right)$
$\mathcal{W}_2 \oplus \mathcal{W}_6$	$d\rho = 0$
$\mathcal{W}_6$	$d\rho = 0$ *and* $\delta\rho = 0$

Moreover, lemma 2.2 enables us to express T^c and F^c in terms of ρ as follows:

Proposition 4.1. *Let*

$$T^c = T^c_8 + T^c_{20} + T^c_{27}, \qquad F^c = F^c_8 + F^c_{27}$$

be the characteristic forms of a PSU(3)*-structure* (M^8, g, ρ)*. Then*

$$T^c_8 = \frac{1}{60}\,\rho\lrcorner\left(\rho\wedge\sigma_-\left(d\rho\right)\right), \qquad T^c_{20} = -\frac{1}{12}\,\sigma_+\left(\delta\rho\right), \qquad T^c_{27} = \frac{1}{16}\left(\sigma_-\left(d\rho\right) - 6\,T^c_8\right),$$

$$F^c_8 = -\frac{1}{180}\,\rho\wedge\left(\rho\lrcorner d\rho\right) = -\frac{1}{180}\,\rho\wedge\left(\delta\rho\lrcorner\rho\right), \quad F^c_{27} = -\frac{1}{8}\left(d\rho - \sigma_+\left(T^c_8 + T^c_{27}\right) + 18\,F^c_8\right).$$

5. THE CHARACTERISTIC CONNECTION

Let ∇ be a metric connection on (M^8, g, ρ). Its torsion $\mathcal{T}$, viewed as a $(3,0)$-tensor,

$$\mathcal{T}(X,Y,Z) = g\left(\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X,Y], Z\right),$$

is an element of the space

$$\mathfrak{T} := \left\{\mathcal{T}\in\Lambda^1\otimes\Lambda^1\otimes\Lambda^1 \,\middle|\, \mathcal{T}(X,Y,Z) + \mathcal{T}(Y,X,Z) = 0\right\}.$$

$\mathfrak{T}$ splits into three irreducible O(8)-modules (see [3]),

$$\mathfrak{T} = \mathfrak{T}_8 \oplus \mathfrak{T}_{56} \oplus \mathfrak{T}_{160},$$

where

$$\mathfrak{T}_8 := \left\{\mathcal{T}\in\mathfrak{T} \,\middle|\, \exists\, V\in TM^8 : \mathcal{T}(X,Y,Z) = g\left(g(V,X)\,Y - g(V,Y)\,X, Z\right)\right\},$$

$\mathfrak{T}_{56} := \Lambda^3$ is the space of 3-forms on (M^8, g, ρ) and

$$\mathfrak{T}_{160} := \left\{\mathcal{T}\in\mathfrak{T} \,\middle|\, \mathfrak{S}_{X,Y,Z}\mathcal{T}(X,Y,Z) = 0 \text{ and } \textstyle\sum_i \mathcal{T}(X,e_i,e_i) = 0\right\}.$$

Here $\mathfrak{S}_{X,Y,Z}$ denotes the cyclic sum over X, Y, Z. We will say that $\mathcal{T}$ is *totally skew-symmetric* if $\mathcal{T}\in\mathfrak{T}_{56}$. If $\mathcal{T}\in\mathfrak{T}_8$, we will call $\mathcal{T}$ *vectorial*. We will furthermore say that $\mathcal{T}$ is *cyclic* if $\mathcal{T}\in\mathfrak{T}_8\oplus\mathfrak{T}_{160}$.

We will now consider the metric connection ∇ on (M^8, g, ρ) defined by

$$g\left(\nabla_X Y, Z\right) = g\left(\nabla^g_X Y, Z\right) + \frac{1}{2}\,T(X,Y,Z) + \left(\left(X\lrcorner\rho\right)\lrcorner F\right)(Y,Z),$$

where $T\in\Lambda^3_8\oplus\Lambda^3_{20}\oplus\Lambda^3_{27}$ and $F\in *\Lambda^4_8\oplus *\Lambda^4_{27}$. Since

$$\mathfrak{S}_{X,Y,Z}\left(\left(X\lrcorner\rho\right)\lrcorner F\right)(Y,Z) = 0$$

holds for all $F\in *\Lambda^4_8\oplus *\Lambda^4_{27}$, the torsion tensor $\mathcal{T}$ of ∇ is given by

$$\mathcal{T}(X,Y,Z) = T(X,Y,Z) - \left(\left(Z\lrcorner\rho\right)\lrcorner F\right)(X,Y).$$

We compute

$$\mathfrak{S}_{X,Y,Z}\mathcal{T}(X,Y,Z) = \mathfrak{S}_{X,Y,Z}T(X,Y,Z)$$

and

$$\sum_i \mathcal{T}(X,e_i,e_i) = \sum_i \left(\left(e_i\lrcorner\rho\right)\lrcorner F\right)(e_i,X) = 3\left(\rho\lrcorner F\right)(X).$$

Therefore, the projection $\mathcal{T}_{160}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ onto $\mathfrak{T}_{160}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_{160}(X,Y,Z) &= \mathcal{T}(X,Y,Z) - \frac{3}{7}g\left(\left(\rho\lrcorner F\right)(X)\,Y - \left(\rho\lrcorner F\right)(Y)\,X, Z\right) - T(X,Y,Z)\\ &= -\left(\left(Z\lrcorner\rho\right)\lrcorner F\right)(X,Y) - \frac{3}{7}g\left(\left(\rho\lrcorner F\right)(X)\,Y - \left(\rho\lrcorner F\right)(Y)\,X, Z\right).\end{aligned}$$

We then compute

$$\sum_{i,j,k}\mathcal{T}_{160}\left(e_i,e_j,e_k\right)^2 = \frac{4}{25}\left\|10\,F - \rho\wedge\left(\rho\lrcorner F\right)\right\|^2 + \frac{36}{35}\left\|\rho\lrcorner F\right\|^2.$$

These equations together with lemma 2.3 prove

Lemma 5.1. *The torsion tensor $\mathcal{T}$ of ∇ vanishes if and only if $T=0$ and $F=0$. Moreover, $\mathcal{T}\neq 0$ is*

- i) *totally skew-symmetric if and only if $F=0$.*
- ii) *cyclic if and only if $T=0$.*
- iii) *not vectorial.*
- iv) *an element of $\mathfrak{T}_{160}$ if and only if $T=0$ and $F\in *\Lambda^4_{27}$.*

The connection forms

$$\omega_{ij} := g\left(\nabla e_i, e_j\right)$$

of the connection ∇ define a 1-form

$$\Omega := \left(\omega_{ij}\right)_{1\le i,j\le 8}.$$

Since

$$\Omega(X) = \Omega^g(X) + \frac{1}{2}(X\lrcorner T) + \left(\left(X\lrcorner\rho\right)\lrcorner F\right),$$

we see that Ω takes values in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(8)$. We project onto $\mathfrak{m}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\Omega(X)) &= \Gamma(X) + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(X\lrcorner T) + \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{m}}\left(\left(X\lrcorner\rho\right)\lrcorner F\right)\\ &= \Gamma_6(X) + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{m}}\left(X\lrcorner\left(T - T^c\right)\right) + \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{m}}\left(\left(X\lrcorner\rho\right)\lrcorner\left(F - F^c\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Applying lemmata 2.6 and 2.7 leads to

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\left(M^8,g,\rho\right)$ be a* PSU(3)*-structure with characteristic forms T^c, F^c. The metric connection ∇ determined by*

$$g\left(\nabla_X Y, Z\right) = g\left(\nabla^g_X Y, Z\right) + \frac{1}{2}T(X,Y,Z) + \left(\left(X\lrcorner\rho\right)\lrcorner F\right)(Y,Z),$$

$$T\in\Lambda^3_8\oplus\Lambda^3_{20}\oplus\Lambda^3_{27}, \qquad F\in *\Lambda^4_8\oplus *\Lambda^4_{27}$$

preserves the PSU(3)*-structure if and only if $\left(M^8,g,\rho\right)$ is of type $\mathcal{W}_1\oplus\ldots\oplus\mathcal{W}_5$ and*

$$T = T^c, \qquad F = F^c.$$

From now on we suppose that $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ is of type

$$\mathscr{W}_1 \oplus \mathscr{W}_2 \oplus \mathscr{W}_3 \oplus \mathscr{W}_4 \oplus \mathscr{W}_5$$

and define the metric connection ∇^c on $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ via

$$g\left(\nabla^c_X Y, Z\right) = g\left(\nabla^g_X Y, Z\right) + \frac{1}{2} T^c(X, Y, Z) + \left(\left(X \lrcorner \rho\right) \lrcorner F^c\right)(Y, Z).$$

This connection preserves the underlying PSU(3)-structure, i.e. $\nabla^c \rho = 0$. Moreover, it is completely determined by ∇^g and the characteristic forms T^c, F^c. Therefore, we will call ∇^c the *characteristic connection* of $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$. The respective torsion tensor $\mathscr{T}^c$ will be called *characteristic torsion* of $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$.

Corollary 5.1. *Let* $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ *be a* PSU(3)*-structure of type* $\mathscr{W}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathscr{W}_5$. *The characteristic torsion* $\mathscr{T}^c$ *of* $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ *vanishes if and only if* $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ *is integrable. Moreover,* $\mathscr{T}^c \neq 0$ *is*

i) *totally skew-symmetric if and only if* $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ *is of type* $\mathscr{W}_1 \oplus \mathscr{W}_2 \oplus \mathscr{W}_3$.
ii) *cyclic if and only if* $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ *is of type* $\mathscr{W}_4 \oplus \mathscr{W}_5$.
iii) *not vectorial.*
iv) *an element of* $\mathfrak{T}_{160}$ *if and only if* $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ *is of type* $\mathscr{W}_5$.

The tensor field $\mathscr{A}^c$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{A}^c(X, Y, Z) &= g\left(\nabla^c_X Y, Z\right) - g\left(\nabla^g_X Y, Z\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} T^c(X, Y, Z) + \left(\left(X \lrcorner \rho\right) \lrcorner F^c\right)(Y, Z) \end{aligned}$$

is an element of the space

$$\mathfrak{A} := \left\{\mathscr{A} \in \Lambda^1 \otimes \Lambda^1 \otimes \Lambda^1 \,\middle|\, \mathscr{A}(X, Y, Z) + \mathscr{A}(X, Z, Y) = 0\right\}.$$

There exists an O(8)-equivariant bijection between $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{T}$ (see [3]) explicitly given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{T}^c(X, Y, Z) &= \mathscr{A}^c(X, Y, Z) - \mathscr{A}^c(Y, X, Z), \\ 2\mathscr{A}^c(X, Y, Z) &= \mathscr{T}^c(X, Y, Z) - \mathscr{T}^c(Y, Z, X) + \mathscr{T}^c(Z, X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

Since ∇^c preserves the PSU(3)-structure, applying lemmata 2.4, 2.7 and 5.1 we have

Proposition 5.1. *The following are equivalent on* PSU(3)*-structures* $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ *of type* $\mathscr{W}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathscr{W}_5$*:*

i) *The characteristic torsion* $\mathscr{T}^c$ *is* ∇^c*-parallel.*
ii) *The tensor field* $\mathscr{A}^c$ *is* ∇^c*-parallel.*
iii) *The characteristic forms* T^c, F^c *are* ∇^c*-parallel.*

If one of these cases holds, we will call $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ a PSU(3)-structure *with parallel characteristic torsion.*

We now intend to compute necessary conditions for the characteristic forms in the presence of parallel characteristic torsion. We investigate the differential $d^c\alpha$

and co-differential $\delta^c\alpha$ of an arbitrary k-form α on $\left(M^8,g,\rho\right)$ with respect to ∇^c,

$$d^c\alpha := \sum_i e_i \wedge \nabla^c_{e_i}\alpha, \qquad\qquad \delta^c\alpha := -\sum_i e_i \lrcorner \nabla^c_{e_i}\alpha.$$

We begin with

$$\begin{aligned} d^c\alpha\left(X_0,...,X_k\right) &= \sum_i \left(e_i \wedge \nabla^c_{e_i}\alpha\right)\left(X_0,...,X_k\right) \\ &= \sum_i\sum_j (-1)^j\, e_i\left(X_j\right)\cdot\nabla^c_{e_i}\alpha\left(X_0,...,\hat{X}_j,...,X_k\right) \\ &= \sum_j (-1)^j\,\nabla^c_{X_j}\alpha\left(X_0,...,\hat{X}_j,...,X_k\right). \end{aligned}$$

The formula

$$\nabla_X\alpha\left(X_1,...,X_k\right) = X\left(\alpha\left(X_1,...,X_k\right)\right) - \alpha\left(\nabla_X X_1, X_2,...,X_k\right) - \ldots - \alpha\left(X_1,...,X_{k-1},\nabla_X X_k\right),$$

valid for any covariant derivative ∇, leads to

$$\begin{aligned} d^c\alpha\left(X_0,...,X_k\right) = &\sum_j (-1)^j\, X_j\left(\alpha\left(X_0,...,\hat{X}_j,...,X_k\right)\right) - \sum_{j\neq 0}(-1)^j\,\alpha\left(\nabla^c_{X_j}X_0, X_1,...,\hat{X}_j,...,X_k\right) \\ &- \ldots - \sum_{j\neq k}(-1)^j\,\alpha\left(X_0,...,\hat{X}_j,...,X_{k-1},\nabla^c_{X_j}X_k\right) \\ = &\sum_j (-1)^j\,\nabla^g_{X_j}\alpha\left(X_0,...,\hat{X}_j,...,X_k\right) \\ &- \sum_{j\neq 0}\sum_l (-1)^j\,\mathscr{A}^c\left(X_j,X_0,e_l\right)\cdot\alpha\left(e_l,X_1,...,\hat{X}_j,...,X_k\right) \\ &- \ldots - \sum_{j\neq k}\sum_l (-1)^j\,\mathscr{A}^c\left(X_j,X_k,e_l\right)\cdot\alpha\left(X_0,...,\hat{X}_j,...,X_{k-1},e_l\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\left(d^c\alpha - d\alpha\right)\left(X_0,...,X_k\right) = \sum_l\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j}\,\mathscr{T}^c\left(X_i,X_j,e_l\right)\cdot\alpha\left(e_l,X_0,...,\hat{X}_i,...,\hat{X}_j,...,X_k\right).$$

Finally, we express the right-hand side in terms of the characteristic forms:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(d^c\alpha - d\alpha\right)\left(X_0,...,X_k\right) &= \sum_l\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j}\Big(\left(e_l\lrcorner T^c\right)\left(X_i,X_j\right) \\ &\qquad - \left(\left(e_l\lrcorner\rho\right)\lrcorner F^c\right)\left(X_i,X_j\right)\Big)\cdot\left(e_l\lrcorner\alpha\right)\left(X_0,...,\hat{X}_i,...,\hat{X}_j,...,X_k\right) \\ &= -\sum_l\left(e_l\lrcorner T^c\right)\wedge\left(e_l\lrcorner\alpha\right)\left(X_0,...,X_k\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_l\left(\left(e_l\lrcorner\rho\right)\lrcorner F^c\right)\wedge\left(e_l\lrcorner\alpha\right)\left(X_0,...,X_k\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.2. *Let $\left(M^8,g,\rho\right)$ be a* PSU(3)*-structure of type $\mathscr{W}_1\oplus\ldots\oplus\mathscr{W}_5$ with characteristic forms T^c, F^c, and consider a differential form α on $\left(M^8,g,\rho\right)$. Then*

$$d^c\alpha = d\alpha - \sum_i\left(e_i\lrcorner T^c\right)\wedge\left(e_i\lrcorner\alpha\right) + \sum_i\left(\left(e_i\lrcorner\rho\right)\lrcorner F^c\right)\wedge\left(e_i\lrcorner\alpha\right).$$

We continue with

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^c\alpha\,(X_1,...,X_{k-1}) &= -\sum_i \nabla^c_{e_i}\alpha\,(e_i,X_1,...,X_{k-1})\\ &= -\sum_i e_i\,(\alpha\,(e_i,X_1,...,X_{k-1})) + \sum_i \alpha\left(\nabla^c_{e_i}e_i,X_1,...,X_{k-1}\right)\\ &\quad + \sum_i \alpha\left(e_i,\nabla^c_{e_i}X_1,...,X_{k-1}\right) + \ldots + \sum_i \alpha\left(e_i,X_1,...,\nabla^c_{e_i}X_{k-1}\right)\\ &= -\sum_i \nabla^g_{e_i}\alpha\,(e_i,X_1,...,X_{k-1}) + \sum_{i,j}\mathscr{A}^c\left(e_i,e_i,e_j\right)\cdot\alpha\left(e_j,X_1,...,X_{k-1}\right)\\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j}\mathscr{A}^c\left(e_i,X_1,e_j\right)\cdot\alpha\left(e_i,e_j,X_2,...,X_{k-1}\right)\\ &\quad + \ldots + \sum_{i,j}\mathscr{A}^c\left(e_i,X_{k-1},e_j\right)\cdot\alpha\left(e_i,X_1,...,X_{k-2},e_j\right).\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\mathscr{A}^c\,(X,X,Y) = \mathscr{T}^c\,(Y,X,X)\,,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\delta^c\alpha-\delta\alpha\right)(X_1,...,X_{k-1}) &= \sum_{i,j}\mathscr{T}^c\left(e_j,e_i,e_i\right)\cdot\alpha\left(e_j,X_1,...,X_{k-1}\right)\\ &\quad + \sum_{i<j}\sum_l (-1)^l\,\mathscr{T}^c\left(e_i,e_j,X_l\right)\cdot\alpha\left(e_i,e_j,X_1,...,\hat{X}_l,...,X_{k-1}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Again, we express the difference in terms of T^c and F^c:

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\delta^c\alpha-\delta\alpha\right)(X_1,...,X_{k-1}) &= \sum_j\sum_i \left(\left(e_i\lrcorner\rho\right)\lrcorner F^c\right)\left(e_i,e_j\right)\cdot\alpha\left(e_j,X_1,...,X_{k-1}\right)\\ &\quad + \sum_{i<j}\sum_l (-1)^l\Big(\left(e_j\lrcorner e_i\lrcorner T^c\right)(X_l) + \left(e_j\lrcorner\left(\left(e_i\lrcorner\rho\right)\lrcorner F^c\right)\right)(X_l)\\ &\quad - \left(e_i\lrcorner\left(\left(e_j\lrcorner\rho\right)\lrcorner F^c\right)\right)(X_l)\Big)\cdot\left(e_j\lrcorner e_i\lrcorner\alpha\right)\left(X_1,...,\hat{X}_l,...,X_{k-1}\right)\\ &= 3\left(\left(\rho\lrcorner F^c\right)\lrcorner\alpha\right)(X_1,...,X_{k-1})\\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j}\left(e_i\lrcorner e_j\lrcorner T^c\right)\wedge\left(e_i\lrcorner e_j\lrcorner\alpha\right)(X_1,...,X_{k-1})\\ &\quad - \sum_{i,j}\left(e_i\lrcorner\left(\left(e_j\lrcorner\rho\right)\lrcorner F^c\right)\right)\wedge\left(e_i\lrcorner e_j\lrcorner\alpha\right)(X_1,...,X_{k-1}).\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\left(M^8,g,\rho\right)$ be a* PSU(3)*-structure of type $\mathscr{W}_1\oplus\ldots\oplus\mathscr{W}_5$ with characteristic forms T^c, F^c, and consider a differential form α on $\left(M^8,g,\rho\right)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^c\alpha &= \delta\alpha + 3\left(\left(\rho\lrcorner F^c\right)\lrcorner\alpha\right) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j}\left(e_i\lrcorner e_j\lrcorner T^c\right)\wedge\left(e_i\lrcorner e_j\lrcorner\alpha\right)\\ &\quad - \sum_{i,j}\left(e_i\lrcorner\left(\left(e_j\lrcorner\rho\right)\lrcorner F^c\right)\right)\wedge\left(e_i\lrcorner e_j\lrcorner\alpha\right).\end{aligned}$$

The above considerations enable us to conclude the following necessary conditions for T^c and F^c in presence of parallel characteristic torsion:

Corollary 5.2. *Let $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ be a* $\mathrm{PSU}(3)$*-structure of type* $\mathscr{W}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathscr{W}_5$ *with parallel characteristic torsion, i.e.* $\nabla^c T^c = 0$ *and* $\nabla^c F^c = 0$. *Then*

$$
\begin{aligned}
dT^c &= \sum_i \left(e_i \lrcorner T^c\right) \wedge \left(e_i \lrcorner T^c\right) - \sum_i \left(\left(e_i \lrcorner \rho\right) \lrcorner F^c\right) \wedge \left(e_i \lrcorner T^c\right),\\
\delta T^c &= -3\left(\left(\rho \lrcorner F^c\right) \lrcorner T^c\right) + \sum_{i,j} \left(e_i \lrcorner \left(\left(e_j \lrcorner \rho\right) \lrcorner F^c\right)\right) \wedge \left(e_i \lrcorner e_j \lrcorner T^c\right),\\
dF^c &= \sum_i \left(e_i \lrcorner T^c\right) \wedge \left(e_i \lrcorner F^c\right) - \sum_i \left(\left(e_i \lrcorner \rho\right) \lrcorner F^c\right) \wedge \left(e_i \lrcorner F^c\right),\\
\delta F^c &= -3\left(\left(\rho \lrcorner F^c\right) \lrcorner F^c\right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \left(e_i \lrcorner e_j \lrcorner T^c\right) \wedge \left(e_i \lrcorner e_j \lrcorner F^c\right)\\
&\quad + \sum_{i,j} \left(e_i \lrcorner \left(\left(e_j \lrcorner \rho\right) \lrcorner F^c\right)\right) \wedge \left(e_i \lrcorner e_j \lrcorner F^c\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

The curvature $\mathscr{R}^c$ of ∇^c, viewed as $(4,0)$-tensor, satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{R}^c(X, Y, Z, V) &= g\left(\nabla^c_X \nabla^c_Y Z - \nabla^c_Y \nabla^c_X Z - \nabla^c_{[X,Y]} Z, V\right)\\
&= g\left(\nabla^g_X \nabla^c_Y Z - \nabla^g_Y \nabla^c_X Z - \nabla^g_{[X,Y]} Z, V\right)\\
&\quad + \mathscr{A}^c\left(X, \nabla^c_Y Z, V\right) - \mathscr{A}^c\left(Y, \nabla^c_X Z, V\right) - \mathscr{A}^c([X, Y], Z, V).
\end{aligned}
$$

Using

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(\nabla^g_X \nabla^c_Y Z, V\right) &= X\left(g\left(\nabla^c_Y Z, V\right)\right) - g\left(\nabla^c_Y Z, \nabla^g_X V\right)\\
&= g\left(\nabla^g_X \nabla^g_Y Z, V\right) + X\left(\mathscr{A}^c(Y, Z, V)\right) - \mathscr{A}^c\left(Y, Z, \nabla^g_X V\right)\\
&= g\left(\nabla^g_X \nabla^g_Y Z, V\right) + X\left(\mathscr{A}^c(Y, Z, V)\right) - \mathscr{A}^c\left(Y, Z, \nabla^c_X V\right)\\
&\quad + \sum_i \mathscr{A}^c(X, V, e_i)\, \mathscr{A}^c(Y, Z, e_i)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\mathscr{A}^c([X, Y], Z, V) = \mathscr{A}^c\left(\nabla^c_X Y - \nabla^c_Y X, Z, V\right) - \sum_i \mathscr{T}^c(X, Y, e_i)\, \mathscr{A}^c(e_i, Z, V),
$$

we conclude

Proposition 5.4. *Let $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ be a* $\mathrm{PSU}(3)$*-structure of type* $\mathscr{W}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathscr{W}_5$. *The curvature tensor* $\mathscr{R}^c$ *and the Riemannian curvature tensor* $\mathscr{R}^g$ *of* $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ *are related via*

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{R}^c(X, Y, Z, V) &= \mathscr{R}^g(X, Y, Z, V) + \nabla^c_X \mathscr{A}^c(Y, Z, V) - \nabla^c_Y \mathscr{A}^c(X, Z, V)\\
&\quad + \sum_i \left(\mathscr{T}^c(X, Y, e_i)\, \mathscr{A}^c(e_i, Z, V) + \mathscr{A}^c(X, V, e_i)\, \mathscr{A}^c(Y, Z, e_i)\right.\\
&\qquad \left. - \mathscr{A}^c(Y, V, e_i)\, \mathscr{A}^c(X, Z, e_i)\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case of parallel characteristic torsion, we compute

$$\mathfrak{S}_{X,Y,Z}\mathcal{R}^c(X,Y,Z,V)=\sum_i\Big(\mathcal{T}^c(X,Y,e_i)\,\mathcal{T}^c(e_i,Z,V)+\mathcal{T}^c(Y,Z,e_i)\,\mathcal{T}^c(e_i,X,V)+\mathcal{T}^c(Z,X,e_i)\,\mathcal{T}^c(e_i,Y,V)\Big).$$

Corollary 5.3. *Let* $\left(M^8,g,\rho\right)$ *be a* $\mathrm{PSU}(3)$*-structure of type* $\mathcal{W}_1\oplus\ldots\oplus\mathcal{W}_5$ *with parallel characteristic torsion, i.e.* $\nabla^c T^c=0$ *and* $\nabla^c F^c=0$. *Then*

$$\mathfrak{S}_{X,Y,Z}\mathcal{R}^c(X,Y,Z,V)=\sum_i\Big((e_i\lrcorner T^c)-\big((e_i\lrcorner\rho)\lrcorner F^c\big)\Big)\wedge\Big((e_i\lrcorner V\lrcorner T^c)-\big(e_i\lrcorner\big((V\lrcorner\rho)\lrcorner F^c\big)\big)\Big)(X,Y,Z).$$

6. RESTRICTING THE CHARACTERISTIC HOLONOMY

The holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla^c)$ of the characteristic connection (the *characteristic holonomy*) is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{psu}(3)$ defined up to the adjoint action of $\mathrm{PSU}(3)$.

Lemma 6.1. *Under the adjoint action of* $\mathrm{PSU}(3)$, *any maximal Lie subalgebra of* $\mathfrak{psu}(3)$ *is conjugate to either*

$$\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{su}_c(2)=\mathrm{span}(\omega_5,\omega_6,\omega_7,\omega_8)$$

or

$$\mathfrak{so}(3)=\mathrm{span}(\omega_1,\omega_4,\omega_5).$$

The Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{su}_c(2)=\mathrm{span}(\omega_5,\omega_6,\omega_7)$$

is the centralizer of

$$\mathfrak{su}(2)=\mathrm{span}(e_{13}+e_{24},e_{14}-e_{23},e_{12}-e_{34})$$

inside $\mathfrak{g}_2\subset\mathfrak{spin}(7)\subset\mathfrak{so}(8)$ (cf. [16]), which is isomorphic, but not conjugate, to $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. A maximal torus in $\mathfrak{psu}(3)$ is

$$\mathfrak{t}^2=\mathrm{span}(\omega_7,\omega_8).$$

The 1-dimensional Lie algebra generated by ω_7 will be denoted by $\mathfrak{t}^1$.

Up to a factor, ρ is the only $\mathfrak{psu}(3)$-invariant differential form on $\left(M^8,g,\rho\right)$. Applying proposition 5.1 this proves

Proposition 6.1. *Let* $\left(M^8,g,\rho\right)$ *be a* $\mathrm{PSU}(3)$*-structure of type* $\mathcal{W}_1\oplus\ldots\oplus\mathcal{W}_5$ *with parallel characteristic torsion and* $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla^c)=\mathfrak{psu}(3)$. *Then* $\left(M^8,g,\rho\right)$ *is integrable, i.e.* $\Gamma=0$.

The space $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{h})$ of algebraic curvature tensors with values in $\mathfrak{h}$,

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{h}):=\left\{\mathcal{R}\in\Lambda^2\otimes\mathfrak{h}\,:\,\mathfrak{S}_{X,Y,Z}\mathcal{R}(X,Y,Z,V)=0\right\},$$

is trivial for $\mathfrak{h}=\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{su}_c(2),\mathfrak{so}(3)$. Lemma 5.6 in [4] therefore leads to

Proposition 6.2. *Let* $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ *be a non-integrable* $\mathrm{PSU}(3)$*-structure of type*

$$\mathscr{W}_1 \oplus \mathscr{W}_2 \oplus \mathscr{W}_3 \oplus \mathscr{W}_4 \oplus \mathscr{W}_5$$

with parallel characteristic torsion $\mathscr{T}^c$*. Then its characteristic connection* ∇^c *is an Ambrose-Singer connection, i.e.*

$$\nabla^c \mathscr{T}^c = 0, \quad \nabla^c \mathscr{R}^c = 0.$$

With [1, 19] we immediately deduce

Corollary 6.1. *Let* $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ *be a simply-connected and complete* $\mathrm{PSU}(3)$*-manifold of type* $\mathscr{W}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathscr{W}_5$ *with non-zero parallel characteristic torsion. Then* $\left(M^8, g\right)$ *is homogeneous.*

By lemma 6.1 $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla^c) \subset \mathfrak{psu}(3)$ is one of

$$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{su}_c(2), \quad \mathfrak{su}_c(2), \quad \mathfrak{t}^2, \quad \mathfrak{so}(3), \quad \mathfrak{t}^1, \quad \mathfrak{o},$$

where $\mathfrak{o}$ denotes the zero algebra. We discuss the first four cases in detail.

6.1. The cases $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla^c) = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{su}_c(2), \mathfrak{su}_c(2), \mathfrak{t}^2$.

Here, the 4-form

$$\Phi := \phi + *\phi, \quad \phi := (e_{246} - e_{235} - e_{145} - e_{136} + e_{127} + e_{347} + e_{567}) \wedge e_8,$$

is globally well defined and ∇^c-parallel. Consequently, $\left(M^8, g\right)$ admits a Spin(7)-structure with fundamental form Φ. We recall certain facts on these structures. Any Spin(7)-manifold $\left(M^8, g, \Phi\right)$ admits (see [13]) a unique metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}^c$ with totally skew-symmetric torsion $\tilde{T}^c$ preserving the structure, i.e.

$$\tilde{\nabla}^c \Phi = 0.$$

In Cabrera's description [2] of the Fernández classification [5] a Spin(7)-structure is *balanced* if and only if the Lee form

$$\theta := \frac{1}{7} * (\delta\Phi \wedge \Phi)$$

vanishes. Those for which $d\Phi = \theta \wedge \Phi$ holds are *locally conformal parallel.*

Theorem 6.1. *Let* $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ *be a* $\mathrm{PSU}(3)$*-manifold of type* $\mathscr{W}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathscr{W}_5$ *with* $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla^c)$ *one of*

$$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{su}_c(2), \quad \mathfrak{su}_c(2), \quad \mathfrak{t}^2.$$

Then $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ *admits a* Spin(7)*-structure* $\left(M^8, g, \Phi, \tilde{\nabla}^c, \tilde{T}^c\right)$ *preserved by* ∇^c*. The connection* $\tilde{\nabla}^c$ *coincides with* ∇^c *if* $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ *is of type* $\mathscr{W}_1 \oplus \mathscr{W}_2 \oplus \mathscr{W}_3$*. Conversely, any* Spin(7)*-manifold* $\left(M^8, g, \Phi, \tilde{\nabla}^c, \tilde{T}^c\right)$ *with* $\mathfrak{hol}\left(\tilde{\nabla}^c\right) = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{su}_c(2)$, $\mathfrak{su}_c(2)$ *or* $\mathfrak{t}^2$ *admits a* $\mathrm{PSU}(3)$*-structure of type* $\mathscr{W}_1 \oplus \mathscr{W}_2 \oplus \mathscr{W}_3$ *with characteristic torsion*

$$\mathrm{pr}_{\Lambda^3_8 \oplus \Lambda^3_{20} \oplus \Lambda^3_{27}}\left(\tilde{T}^c\right).$$

Proof. There remains to show the second part of the theorem. As $\mathfrak{hol}\left(\tilde{\nabla}^c\right)$ is one of

$$\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{su}_c(2)\,,\quad \mathfrak{su}_c(2)\,,\quad \mathfrak{t}^2,$$

the 3-form ρ is globally well defined and $\tilde{\nabla}^c$-parallel. The latter yields

$$\sigma_1\left(\Gamma(X),\rho\right)=\nabla^g_X\rho=\sigma_1\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(X\lrcorner\tilde{T}^c\right),\rho\right)$$

for the intrinsic torsion Γ of the corresponding PSU(3)-structure. Lemma 2.1 completes the proof. □

We now discuss the case of parallel characteristic torsion, i.e. $\nabla^c\mathcal{T}^c=0$. Propositions 5.1 and 6.2 show that

$$T^c\in\Lambda^3_8\oplus\Lambda^3_{20}\oplus\Lambda^3_{27},\quad F^c\in*\Lambda^4_8\oplus*\Lambda^4_{27},\quad \mathscr{R}^c:\Lambda^2\to\mathfrak{hol}\left(\nabla^c\right)$$

are $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla^c)$-invariant. Moreover, by corollary 5.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{S}_{X,Y,Z}\mathscr{R}^c(X,Y,Z,V)=\sum_i&\left(\left(e_i\lrcorner T^c\right)-\left(\left(e_i\lrcorner\rho\right)\lrcorner F^c\right)\right)\wedge\\&\left(\left(e_i\lrcorner V\lrcorner T^c\right)-\left(e_i\lrcorner\left(\left(V\lrcorner\rho\right)\lrcorner F^c\right)\right)\right)(X,Y,Z)\,.\end{aligned}$$

We denote by $(\bullet)$ the system of equations described above.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $\left(M^8,g,\rho\right)$ be a* PSU(3)*-structure of type $\mathcal{W}_1\oplus\ldots\oplus\mathcal{W}_5$ with parallel characteristic torsion and* $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla^c)$ *one of*

$$\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{su}_c(2)\,,\quad \mathfrak{su}_c(2)\,,\quad \mathfrak{t}^2.$$

Then $\left(M^8,g,\rho\right)$ is of type $\mathcal{W}_1\oplus\mathcal{W}_2\oplus\mathcal{W}_3$.

Proof. Assume $F^c\neq0$. Analyzing $(\bullet)$ for the cases $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla^c)=\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{su}_c(2),\mathfrak{su}_c(2),\mathfrak{t}^2$ there exist only one solution,

$$\begin{aligned}T^c&=0,\\F^c&=b\left(e_{246}-e_{235}-e_{145}-e_{136}+e_{127}+e_{347}+e_{567}\right)\wedge e_8,\\\mathscr{R}^c&=-6\,b^2\left(e_{67}\otimes\omega_5-e_{57}\otimes\omega_6+e_{56}\otimes\omega_7\right),\end{aligned}$$

where $b\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$. Now, proposition 5.4 and the Ambrose-Singer holonomy theorem enable us to determine the Riemannian holonomy algebra. Up to the adjoint action of SO(8), we compute $\mathfrak{hol}\left(\nabla^g\right)=\mathfrak{psu}(3)$, hence

$$0=\nabla^g_X\rho=\varrho_*\left(\Gamma(X)\right)\left(\rho\right)=-\sigma_1\left(\left(X\lrcorner\rho\right)\lrcorner F^c,\rho\right),$$

and lemmata 2.1 and 2.4 yield $F^c=0$, a contradiction. □

We therefore conclude

$$\tilde{\nabla}^c=\nabla^c,\quad \tilde{T}^c=T^c,\quad F^c=0.$$

Assume $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla^c)=\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{su}_c(2)$. In this case, the following forms are globally well defined and ∇^c-parallel

$$e_8,\quad \omega_8,\quad \varphi_1:=e_{246}-e_{235}-e_{145}-e_{136}+e_{127}+e_{347},\quad \varphi_2:=e_{567}.$$

Solving $(\bullet)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} T^c &= a_1\left(\varphi_1 + 3\,\varphi_2\right) + a_2\left(\omega_8 \wedge e_8 + 3\,\varphi_2\right),\\ F^c &= 0,\\ \mathscr{R}^c &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(5\,a_1^2 + 3\,a_1\,a_2\right)\left(\omega_5\otimes\omega_5 + \omega_6\otimes\omega_6 + \omega_7\otimes\omega_7\right)\\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}\left(7\,a_1^2 + 3\,a_1\,a_2 - 2\,a_2^2\right)\omega_8\otimes\omega_8, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy

$$5\,a_1^2 + 3\,a_1\,a_2 \neq 0, \quad 7\,a_1^2 + 3\,a_1\,a_2 - 2\,a_2^2 \neq 0$$

to ensure $\mathfrak{hol}\,(\nabla^c) = \mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{su}_c\,(2)$.

Proposition 6.3. *Let* $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ *be a* $\mathrm{PSU}\,(3)$*-structure of type* $\mathscr{W}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathscr{W}_5$ *with parallel characteristic torsion and* $\mathfrak{hol}\,(\nabla^c) = \mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{su}_c\,(2)$. *Then* $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ *is of type* $\mathscr{W}_1 \oplus \mathscr{W}_3$ *and the corresponding* $\mathrm{Spin}(7)$*-structure is not locally conformal parallel.*

The fundamental form ρ can be expressed as

$$\rho = \varphi_1 - 2\,\varphi_2 + \omega_8 \wedge e_8.$$

Using proposition 5.2, we compute the following differentials

$$\begin{aligned} de_8 &= a_2\,\omega_8,\\ d\omega_8 &= 0,\\ d\varphi_1 &= (7\,a_1 + 3\,a_2)\left(e_8\lrcorner *\varphi_1\right) + 6\,a_1\left(e_8\lrcorner *\varphi_2\right),\\ d\varphi_2 &= a_1\left(e_8\lrcorner *\varphi_1\right),\\ d\left(e_8\lrcorner *\varphi_1\right) &= 0,\\ d\left(e_8\lrcorner *\varphi_2\right) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, several Lie derivatives along e_8 vanish,

$$\mathscr{L}_{e_8}\omega_8 = 0, \quad \mathscr{L}_{e_8}\varphi_1 = 0, \quad \mathscr{L}_{e_8}\varphi_2 = 0, \quad \mathscr{L}_{e_8}\left(e_8\lrcorner *\varphi_1\right) = 0, \quad \mathscr{L}_{e_8}\left(e_8\lrcorner *\varphi_2\right) = 0.$$

A computation of the Riemannian Ricci tensor yields the following result:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ric}^g\,(e_i) &= \frac{1}{2}\left(39\,a_1^2 + 18\,a_1\,a_2 - 3\,a_2^2\right)e_i && \text{for} \quad i = 1,\ldots,4,\\ \mathrm{Ric}^g\,(e_i) &= \frac{1}{2}\left(51\,a_1^2 + 42\,a_1\,a_2 + 9\,a_2^2\right)e_i && \text{for} \quad i = 5,\ldots,7,\\ \mathrm{Ric}^g\,(e_8) &= 3\,a_2^2\,e_8. \end{aligned}$$

We now restrict to the regular case, i.e. we assume that e_8 induces a free action of the group S^1. The orbit space $\pi : M^8 \to \overline{N}$ is a Riemannian 7-manifold $\overline{N}$. There exist well-defined differential forms $\overline{\omega_8}$, $\overline{\varphi_1}$ and $\overline{\varphi_2}$ on $\overline{N}$ such that

$$\overline{T^c} = a_1\left(\overline{\varphi_1} + \overline{\varphi_2}\right) + (2\,a_1 + 3\,a_2)\,\overline{\varphi_2}, \quad \overline{*}\,\overline{\varphi_1} = \overline{e_8\lrcorner *\varphi_1}, \quad \overline{*}\,\overline{\varphi_2} = \overline{e_8\lrcorner *\varphi_2}.$$

Here $\overline{*}$ denotes the Hodge operator of $\overline{N}$. The 3-form $\overline{\varphi} := \overline{\varphi_1} + \overline{\varphi_2}$ satisfies

$$d\overline{*}\,\overline{\varphi} = 0.$$

Consequently, $\overline{N}$ is a cocalibrated G_2-manifold with fundamental form $\overline{\varphi}$. Any manifold of this type admits a unique metric connection with totally skew-symmetric torsion (see [8]). Since

$$\overline{T^c} = \frac{1}{6}\left\langle d\overline{\varphi}, \overline{*}\,\overline{\varphi}\right\rangle \overline{\varphi} - \overline{*} d\overline{\varphi},$$

this connection coincides with $\overline{\nabla^c}$. The holomy algebra of $\overline{\nabla^c}$ is $\mathfrak{su}_c(2) \subset \mathfrak{g}_2$ if

$$7\,a_1 + 3\,a_2 = 0,$$

and $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{su}_c(2) \subset \mathfrak{g}_2$ otherwise. Conversely, if $(\overline{N}, \overline{g}, \overline{\varphi}, \overline{\nabla^c}, \overline{T^c})$ is a cocalibrated G_2-manifold of this type, then the differential forms $\overline{\varphi_1}$, $\overline{\varphi_2}$ and $\overline{\omega_8}$ exist and $d\overline{\omega_8} = 0$ holds. Suppose the equation

$$d e_8 = a_2\,\overline{\omega_8}$$

defines a principal S^1-bundle $\pi : M^8 \to \overline{N}$. Then the manifold M^8 admits a PSU(3)-structure

$$\rho = \pi^*\left(\overline{\varphi_1}\right) - 2\pi^*\left(\overline{\varphi_2}\right) + \pi^*\left(\overline{\omega_8}\right) \wedge e_8$$

with parallel characteristic torsion

$$T^c = a_1\left(\pi^*\left(\overline{\varphi_1}\right) + 3\pi^*\left(\overline{\varphi_2}\right)\right) + a_2\left(\pi^*\left(\overline{\omega_8}\right) \wedge e_8 + 3\pi^*\left(\overline{\varphi_2}\right)\right)$$

and $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla^c) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{su}_c(2)$.

Theorem 6.3. *Let* $\left(M^8, g, \rho\right)$ *be a regular* PSU(3)*-manifold of type* $\mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathcal{W}_5$ *with parallel characteristic torsion and*

$$\mathfrak{hol}\left(\nabla^c\right) = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{su}_c(2).$$

Then M^8 *is a principal* S^1*-bundle and a Riemannian submersion over a cocalibrated* G_2*-manifold* $(\overline{N}, \overline{g}, \overline{\varphi}, \overline{\nabla^c}, \overline{T^c})$ *with* $\overline{\nabla^c}\,\overline{T^c} = 0$ *and* $\mathfrak{hol}\left(\overline{\nabla^c}\right) = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{su}_c(2)$ *or* $\mathfrak{su}_c(2)$*. The Chern class of the fibration* $\pi : M^8 \to \overline{N}$ *is proportional to the form* $\overline{\omega_8}$*. Conversely, any of these fibrations admits a* PSU(3)*-structure of type* $\mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \mathcal{W}_2 \oplus \mathcal{W}_3$ *with parallel characteristic torsion and characteristic holonomy contained in* $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{su}_c(2)$.

Example 6.1. There exists a unique simply-connected, complete, cocalibrated G_2-manifold $(\overline{N}, \overline{g}, \overline{\varphi}, \overline{\nabla^c}, \overline{T^c})$ with $\overline{\nabla^c}\,\overline{T^c} = 0$ and $\mathfrak{hol}\left(\overline{\nabla^c}\right) = \mathfrak{su}_c(2)$ (see [7]). This manifold is a naturally reductive homogeneous space. Moreover, $\overline{N} = N(1,1)$ is a nearly parallel G_2-manifold with $\mathfrak{hol}\left(\overline{\nabla^c}\right) = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{su}_c(2)$, it appears in the classification [9].

We now consider the case $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla^c) = \mathfrak{su}_c(2)$. The differential forms

$$e_8, \quad \omega_8, \quad \varphi_1, \quad \varphi_2$$

are globally well defined and ∇^c-parallel. Analyzing (•) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
T^c &= a_1\left(\varphi_1+3\varphi_2\right)+a_2\left(\omega_8\wedge e_8+3\varphi_2\right)+a_3\left(e_{148}-e_{238}\right)+a_4\left(e_{138}+e_{248}\right),\\
F^c &= 0,\\
\mathscr{R}^c &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(5\,a_1^2+3\,a_1\,a_2\right)\left(\omega_5\otimes\omega_5+\omega_6\otimes\omega_6+\omega_7\otimes\omega_7\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\in\mathbb{R}$ satisfy

$$5\,a_1^2+3\,a_1\,a_2\neq 0,\quad 7\,a_1^2+3\,a_1\,a_2-2\,a_2^2=\frac{2}{3}\left(a_3^2+a_4^2\right).$$

Applying theorems 6.1 and 6.2, two torsion forms $T_1^c, T_2^c\neq 0$ of this family define equivalent geometric structures if they are equivalent under the action of the normalizer of $\mathrm{Hol}(\nabla^c)$ inside $\mathrm{Spin}(7)$. Without loss of generality, we can therefore assume $a_3=a_4=0$.

Proposition 6.4. *Let* $\left(M^8,g,\rho\right)$ *be a* $\mathrm{PSU}(3)$*-structure of type* $\mathscr{W}_1\oplus\ldots\oplus\mathscr{W}_5$ *with parallel characteristic torsion and* $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla^c)=\mathfrak{su}_c(2)$. *Then* $\left(M^8,g,\rho\right)$ *is of strict type* $\mathscr{W}_1\oplus\mathscr{W}_3$, Ric^g *is positive definite and the corresponding* $\mathrm{Spin}(7)$*-structure is neither balanced nor locally conformal parallel.*

Following the same arguments that lead to theorem 6.3, we obtain

Theorem 6.4. *Let* $\left(M^8,g,\rho\right)$ *be a regular* $\mathrm{PSU}(3)$*-manifold of type* $\mathscr{W}_1\oplus\ldots\oplus\mathscr{W}_5$ *with parallel characteristic torsion and* $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla^c)=\mathfrak{su}_c(2)$. *Then* M^8 *is a principal* S^1*-bundle and a Riemannian submersion over a cocalibrated* G_2*-manifold* $(\overline{N},\overline{g},\overline{\varphi},\overline{\nabla^c},\overline{T^c})$ *with* $\overline{\nabla^c T^c}=0$ *and* $\mathfrak{hol}\left(\overline{\nabla^c}\right)=\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{su}_c(2)$. *The Chern class of the fibration* $\pi: M^8\to\overline{N}$ *is proportional to the form* $\overline{\omega_8}$.

We now discuss the case of $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla^c)=\mathfrak{t}^2$. The following forms are globally well defined and ∇^c-parallel

$$e_7,\quad e_8,\quad \omega_7,\quad \omega_8,\quad \Sigma:=e_{246}-e_{235}-e_{145}-e_{136},\quad \Omega_1:=e_{12}+e_{34},\quad \Omega_2:=e_{56}.$$

Using these, the fundamental form reads

$$\rho=\Sigma+\omega_7\wedge e_7+\omega_8\wedge e_8.$$

There exist two 5-parameter families of triples $(T^c,F^c,\mathscr{R}^c)$ satisfying system (•). Quotienting out the action of the normalizer of $\mathrm{Hol}(\nabla^c)$ inside $\mathrm{Spin}(7)$, we obtain the two 4-parameter families

$$\begin{aligned}
T_I^c &= a_1\,\Sigma+\left((a_1+a_2)\,\omega_7+a_3\,\omega_8\right)\wedge e_7+\left(a_4\,\omega_7-\left(\tfrac{5}{3}\,a_1+a_2\right)\omega_8\right)\wedge e_8,\\
F_I^c &= 0,\\
\mathscr{R}_I^c &= \left((a_1+a_2)^2-a_1^2+a_4^2\right)\omega_7\otimes\omega_7+\left((a_1+a_2)\,a_3-\left(\tfrac{5}{3}\,a_1+a_2\right)a_4\right)\left(\omega_7\otimes\omega_8+\omega_8\otimes\omega_7\right)\\
&\quad+\left(\left(\tfrac{5}{3}\,a_1+a_2\right)^2-a_1^2+a_3^2\right)\omega_8\otimes\omega_8
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
T^c_{II} &= (b_1\,\Omega_1 + (b_1 - 3\,b_2)\Omega_2 + b_3\,\omega_8)\wedge e_7 + (b_4\,\omega_7 - b_2\,\omega_8)\wedge e_8,\\
F^c_{II} &= 0,\\
\mathscr{R}^c_{II} &= \left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\,b_1\,(b_1 - 3\,b_2) + b_4^2\right)\omega_7\otimes\omega_7 + \left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\,b_3\,(b_1 - 3\,b_2) - b_2\,b_4\right)(\omega_7\otimes\omega_8 + \omega_8\otimes\omega_7)\\
&\quad + \left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\,b_1\,(b_1 - b_2) + b_2^2 + b_3^2\right)\omega_8\otimes\omega_8,
\end{aligned}$$

where $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4 \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy

$$\left((a_1+a_2)^2 - a_1^2 + a_4^2\right)\left(\left(\tfrac{5}{3}\,a_1 + a_2\right)^2 - a_1^2 + a_3^2\right) \neq \left((a_1+a_2)\,a_3 - \left(\tfrac{5}{3}\,a_1 + a_2\right)a_4\right)^2,$$

$$\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\,b_1\,(b_1 - 3\,b_2) + b_4^2\right)\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\,b_1\,(b_1 - b_2) + b_2^2 + b_3^2\right) \neq \left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\,b_3\,(b_1 - 3\,b_2) - b_2\,b_4\right)^2.$$

To distinguish between these two cases, we will say that $\mathscr{T}^c$ *is of type I or of type II* respectively.

Proposition 6.5. *Let* (M^8, g, ρ) *be a* PSU(3)*-structure of type* $\mathscr{W}_1\oplus\ldots\oplus\mathscr{W}_5$ *with parallel characteristic torsion and* $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla^c) = \mathfrak{t}^2$. *Then the corresponding* Spin(7)*-structure* (M^8, g, Φ) *is not locally conformal parallel, it is balanced if and only if* $\mathscr{T}^c$ *is of both types.*

Assume $\mathscr{T}^c$ is of type I. With the aid of proposition 5.2, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
de_7 &= (a_1+a_2)\,\omega_7 + a_3\,\omega_8, & de_8 &= a_4\,\omega_7 - \left(\tfrac{5}{3}\,a_1 + a_2\right)\omega_8,\\
d\omega_7 &= 0, & d\omega_8 &= 0,\\
d\Sigma &= 4\,a_1\,(e_8\lrcorner * (\Omega\wedge e_7)), & d\Omega &= 3\,a_1\,(e_8\lrcorner\, e_7\lrcorner * \Sigma),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega := \Omega_1 + \Omega_2$. Therefore,

$$\mathscr{L}_{e_8} e_7 = 0, \quad \mathscr{L}_{e_8}\omega_7 = 0, \quad \mathscr{L}_{e_8}\omega_8 = 0, \quad \mathscr{L}_{e_8}\Sigma = 0, \quad \mathscr{L}_{e_8}\Omega = 0$$

and

$$\mathscr{L}_{e_8}(e_8\lrcorner * (\Omega\wedge e_7)) = 0, \quad \mathscr{L}_{e_8}(e_8\lrcorner\, e_7\lrcorner * \Sigma) = 0.$$

For a regular structure, $\pi : M^8 \to \overline{N}$ is a principal S^1-bundle over a 7-dimensional Riemannian manifold $\overline{N}$. The latter admits differential forms $\overline{\Sigma}$, $\overline{\Omega}$, $\overline{e_7}$, $\overline{\omega_7}$ and $\overline{\omega_8}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{T^c} &= a_1\,\overline{\Sigma} + \left((a_1+a_2)\,\overline{\omega_7} + a_3\,\overline{\omega_8}\right)\wedge\overline{e_7},\\
\overline{*}\,\overline{\Sigma} &= \overline{(e_8\lrcorner\, e_7\lrcorner * \Sigma)}\wedge\overline{e_7},\\
\overline{*}\left(\overline{\Omega}\wedge\overline{e_7}\right) &= \overline{(e_8\lrcorner * (\Omega\wedge e_7))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Introducing the form

$$\overline{\varphi} := \overline{\Sigma} + \overline{\Omega}\wedge\overline{e_7},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
d\,\overline{*}\,\overline{\varphi} &= 0,\\
\overline{T^c} &= \frac{1}{6}\left\langle d\overline{\varphi}, \overline{*}\,\overline{\varphi}\right\rangle\overline{\varphi} - \overline{*}\,d\overline{\varphi}.
\end{aligned}$$

Again, we deduce that $(\overline{N},\overline{g},\overline{\varphi},\overline{\nabla^c},\overline{T^c})$ is a cocalibrated G_2-manifold with $\overline{\nabla^c}\,\overline{T^c}=0$. The holonomy algebra of $\overline{\nabla^c}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{t}^2\subset\mathfrak{g}_2$. G_2-structures of this type admit two $\overline{\nabla^c}$-parallel spinor fields $\overline{\Psi_+}$, $\overline{\Psi_-}$ such that

$$\overline{\Sigma}\cdot\overline{\Psi_+}=4\,\overline{\Psi_+},\quad \overline{\Sigma}\cdot\overline{\Psi_-}=-4\,\overline{\Psi_-}.$$

The '$\cdot$' denotes the Clifford product.

Theorem 6.5. *Let $\left(M^8,g,\rho\right)$ be a regular* $\mathrm{PSU}(3)$*-manifold of type $\mathscr{W}_1\oplus\ldots\oplus\mathscr{W}_5$ with parallel characteristic torsion $\mathscr{T}^c$ and $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla^c)=\mathfrak{t}^2$. Moreover, suppose that $\mathscr{T}^c$ is of type I. Then M^8 is a principal* S^1*-bundle and a Riemannian submersion over a cocalibrated* G_2*-manifold $(\overline{N},\overline{g},\overline{\varphi},\overline{\nabla^c},\overline{T^c})$ that satisfies*

$$\overline{\nabla^c}\,\overline{T^c}=0,\quad \mathfrak{hol}\left(\overline{\nabla^c}\right)\subseteq\mathfrak{t}^2\subset\mathfrak{g}_2,\quad \overline{T^c}\cdot\overline{\Psi_\pm}=\pm\lambda\overline{\Psi_\pm},\quad \lambda\in\mathbb{R}.$$

The Chern class of the fibration $\pi:M^8\to\overline{N}$ is a linear combination of $\overline{\omega_7}$ and $\overline{\omega_8}$.

The case of torsion type *II* is similar. Following the same arguments as in the discussion of type *I*, we obtain

Theorem 6.6. *Let $\left(M^8,g,\rho\right)$ be a regular* $\mathrm{PSU}(3)$*-manifold of type $\mathscr{W}_1\oplus\ldots\oplus\mathscr{W}_5$ with parallel characteristic torsion $\mathscr{T}^c$ and $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla^c)=\mathfrak{t}^2$. Moreover, suppose that $\mathscr{T}^c$ is of type II. Then M^8 is a principal* S^1*-bundle and a Riemannian submersion over a cocalibrated* G_2*-manifold $(\overline{N},\overline{g},\overline{\varphi},\overline{\nabla^c},\overline{T^c})$ that satisfies*

$$\overline{\nabla^c}\,\overline{T^c}=0,\quad \mathfrak{hol}\left(\overline{\nabla^c}\right)\subseteq\mathfrak{t}^2\subset\mathfrak{g}_2,\quad \overline{T^c}\cdot\overline{\Psi_\pm}=\lambda\overline{\Psi_\pm},\quad \lambda\in\mathbb{R}.$$

The Chern class of the fibration $\pi:M^8\to\overline{N}$ is a linear combination of $\overline{\omega_7}$ and $\overline{\omega_8}$.

6.2. **The case of $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla^c)=\mathfrak{so}(3)$.** Any $\mathfrak{so}(3)$-invariant 3-form in $\Lambda^3_8\oplus\Lambda^3_{20}\oplus\Lambda^3_{27}$ is a multiple of

$$\rho+16\,e_{145}\in\Lambda^3_{27}.$$

Up to a factor, the only $\mathfrak{so}(3)$-invariant 4-form in $*\Lambda^4_8\oplus*\Lambda^4_{27}$ is

$$*\sigma_+\left(\rho+16\,e_{145}\right)\in*\Lambda^4_{27}.$$

Assume $\nabla^c\mathscr{T}^c=0$. This implies

$$T^c=a\left(\rho+16\,e_{145}\right),\quad F^c=b*\sigma_+\left(\rho+16\,e_{145}\right)$$

for some $a,b\in\mathbb{R}$ and the curvature operator $\mathscr{R}^c:\Lambda^2\to\mathfrak{so}(3)$ is $\mathfrak{so}(3)$-invariant (see proposition 6.2). Moreover, by corollary 5.3 the triple $(T^c,F^c,\mathscr{R}^c)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{S}_{X,Y,Z}\mathscr{R}^c(X,Y,Z,V)=\sum_i\left(\left(e_i\lrcorner T^c\right)-\left(\left(e_i\lrcorner\rho\right)\lrcorner F^c\right)\right)\wedge\\ \left(\left(e_i\lrcorner V\lrcorner T^c\right)-\left(e_i\lrcorner\left(\left(V\lrcorner\rho\right)\lrcorner F^c\right)\right)\right)(X,Y,Z).\end{aligned}$$

There exist only two solutions, either $a\neq0$, $b=0$ and

$$\mathscr{R}^c=-16\,a^2\left(\omega_1\otimes\omega_1+\omega_4\otimes\omega_4+\omega_5\otimes\omega_5\right)$$

or $a=0$, $b\neq 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{R}^c = -3072\, b^2\Big(&\big(e_{36}-e_{27}-\sqrt{3}\,e_{28}\big)\otimes\omega_1+\big(e_{26}+e_{37}-\sqrt{3}\,e_{38}\big)\otimes\omega_4\\ &+(e_{23}+2\,e_{67})\otimes\omega_5\Big)\end{aligned}$$

holds necessarily. The respective Riemannian Ricci tensors are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ric}^g &= 3\,a^2\,\mathrm{diag}\,(49,33,33,49,49,33,33,33)\,,\\ \mathrm{Ric}^g &= -3072\,b^2\,\mathrm{diag}\,(0,8,8,0,0,8,8,8)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 6.7. *Any* PSU(3)*-structure* (M^8,g,ρ) *of type* $\mathscr{W}_1\oplus\ldots\oplus\mathscr{W}_5$ *that satisfies*

$$\nabla^c\mathscr{T}^c=0,\quad \mathfrak{hol}(\nabla^c)=\mathfrak{so}(3)$$

is either of type $\mathscr{W}_3$ *and* $\mathrm{Scal}^g>0$ *or of type* $\mathscr{W}_5$ *and* $\mathrm{Scal}^g<0$.

Following [14], let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the direct sum of $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ and $\mathbb{R}^8$ and define

$$[A+X,B+Y]=\big(A\circ B-B\circ A-\mathscr{R}^c(X,Y)\big)+\big(A(Y)-B(X)-\mathscr{T}^c(X,Y)\big)$$

for all $A,B\in\mathfrak{so}(3)$ and $X,Y\in\mathbb{R}^8$. The algebraic properties of $\mathscr{T}^c$ and $\mathscr{R}^c$ yield in both cases that $\mathfrak{g}$, with the bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]$, becomes a Lie algebra. If $a\neq 0$, this Lie algebra is isomorphic to

$$\mathfrak{su}(3)\oplus\mathfrak{su}(2).$$

Now, let G be the connected, simply-connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Since SO(3) is closed in G, the space

$$M^8=\mathrm{G}/\mathrm{SO}(3)$$

is a smooth manifold and the inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ of $\mathbb{R}^8$ extends to an SO(3)-invariant Riemannian metric g on M^8. The canonical connection associated with the reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{so}(3)\oplus\mathbb{R}^8$ is an Ambrose-Singer connection with torsion tensor $\mathscr{T}^c$ and curvature tensor $\mathscr{R}^c$ at the origin.

Theorem 6.8. *There exist unique simply-connected and complete* PSU(3)*-manifolds with*

$$\nabla^c\mathscr{T}^c=0,\quad \mathfrak{hol}(\nabla^c)=\mathfrak{so}(3)$$

of type $\mathscr{W}_3$ *and of type* $\mathscr{W}_5$*. The respective manifolds are homogeneous spaces with isotropy group* SO(3)*.*

REFERENCES

[1] W. Ambrose and I. M. Singer, *On Homogeneous Riemannian Manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **25** (1958), 657–669.

[2] F. M. Cabrera, *On Riemannian manifolds with* Spin(7)*-structure*, Publ. Math. **46** (1995), 271–283.

[3] E. Cartan, *Sur les variétés à connexion affine et la théorie de la relativité généralisée (deuxième partie)*, Ann. Ec. Norm. Sup. **42** (1925), 17–88.

[4] R. Cleyton and A. Swann, *Einstein Metrics via Intrinsic or Parallel Torsion*, Math. Z. **247** (2004), 513–528.

[5] M. Fernández, *A classification of Riemannian manifolds with structure group* Spin(7), Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., IV. Ser. **143** (1986), 101–122.

[6] T. Friedrich, *On types of non-integrable geometries*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl. **71** (2003), 99–113.

[7] T. Friedrich, G_2*-manifolds with parallel characteristic torsion*, Diff. Geom. Appl. **25** (2007), 632–648.

[8] T. Friedrich and S. Ivanov, *Parallel spinors and connections with skew-symmetric torsion in string theory*, Asian Journ. Math **6** (2002), 303–336.

[9] T. Friedrich, I. Kath, A. Moroianu, and U. Semmelmann, *On nearly parallel* G_2*-structures*, Journ. Geom. Phys. **23** (1997), 256–286.

[10] M. Gell-Mann and Y. Ne'eman, *The eightfold way*, W. A. Benjamin, New York, 1964.

[11] G. B. Gurevich, *Classification of trivectors of rank eight* (in Russian), Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **2** (1935), 353–355.

[12] N. Hitchin, *Stable forms and special metrics*, in: M. Fernández and J. A. Wolf (eds.), Global Differential Geometry: The Mathematical Legacy of Alfred Gray, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 288, American Mathematical Society, Providence (2001), 70–89.

[13] S. Ivanov, *Connection with torsion, parallel spinors and geometry of* $\mathrm{Spin}(7)$*-manifolds*, Math. Res. Lett. **11** (2004), 171–186.

[14] K. Nomizu, *Invariant Affine Connections on Homogeneous Spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **76** (1954), 33–65.

[15] P. Nurowski, *Distinguished dimensions for special Riemannian geometries*, J. Geom. Phys. **58** (2008), 1148–1170.

[16] C. Puhle, $\mathrm{Spin}(7)$*-manifolds with parallel torsion form*, Comm. Math. Phys. **291** (2009), 303–320.

[17] W. Reichel, *Über trilineare alternierende Formen in sechs und sieben Veränderlichen und die durch sie definierten geometrischen Gebilde*, Dissertation, Universität Greifswald, 1907.

[18] J. A. Schouten, *Klassifizierung der alternierenden Größen dritten Grades in* 7 *Dimensionen*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (1) **55** (1931), 137–156.

[19] F. Tricerri and L. Vanhecke, *Homogeneous Structures on Riemannian Manifolds*, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1983.

[20] F. Witt, *Special metric structures and closed forms*, DPhil thesis, University of Oxford, 2005.

[21] F. Witt, *Special metrics and triality*, Adv. Math. **219** (2008), 1972–2005.

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK
HUMBOLDT-UNIVERSITÄT ZU BERLIN
UNTER DEN LINDEN 6
10099 BERLIN, GERMANY
E-mail address: `puhle@math.hu-berlin.de`
URL: `www.math.hu-berlin.de/~puhle`